

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/754,205

Examiner

Amine Riad

Applicant(s)

ELEZ, SLAVENKO

Art Unit

2113

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to application filed January 8, 2004.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-23.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) AR
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) AR
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other _____.

Detailed Action

Claims 1-23 have been presented for examination.

Claims 1-23 are allowed.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jack Friedman on Monday June 5, 2006. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 17 line 4:

"A method for method for verification of command" has been changed to "a method for verification of command".

Reasons for Allowance

Claims 1-23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

After a complete search of all the relevant prior art the examiner has determined the claims are in condition for allowance. The following limitations when viewed in combination with the remainder of the claim as a whole place this application in condition for allowance.

Regarding claim 1,

Art Unit: 2113

The Examiner finds the novel and non-obvious feature of claim 1, when read as whole to be inputting over time, multiple simulated requests into a simulation model of a computer system, each request having a priority and each request comprising stages (1), (2), (3, (5), (7) .

- Stage (1) request and tag transaction
- Stage (2) command ID transaction
- Stage (3) command system ID transaction
- Stage (5) system combined response transaction
- Stage (7) completion tag transaction

The Examiner also finds, when inputting multiple simulated requests, the novel to be combining the stages defined before with:

- Sorting the priority of each request based on stage
- Issuing an error or ignoring a particular stage

Regarding claim 10,

The Examiner finds the novel and non-obvious feature of claim 10, when read as whole to be inputting over time, multiple simulated requests into a simulation model of a computer system, each request having a priority and each request comprising stages (1), (2), (3, (5), (7)。

- Stage (1) request and tag transaction
- Stage (2) command ID transaction
- Stage (3) command system ID transaction
- Stage (5) system combined response transaction

- Stage (7) completion tag transaction

The Examiner also finds, when inputting multiple simulated requests, the novel to be

- Entering into one of two or more priority lists a tag and a priority for each particular stage (1) request and tag transaction upon input of each particular stage (1) request and tag transaction
- Moving an entry corresponding to each particular stage (2) command ID transaction from one of said two or more priority lists to an issued list upon input of each particular stage (2) command ID transaction of a request and writing a packet ID from said particular stage (2) command ID transaction to a packet ID field in said entry
- Writing to a system combined response field in an entry of said issued list corresponding to each particular a stage (5) system combined response transaction, a system combined response
- Deleting from said issued list each entry corresponding to each stage (7) completion tag transaction.

Regarding claim 17,

The Examiner finds the novel and non-obvious feature of claim 10, when read as whole to be inputting over time, multiple simulated requests into a simulation model of a computer system, each request having a priority and each request comprising stages (1), (2), (3, (5), (7) .

- Stage (1) request and tag transaction
- Stage (2) command ID transaction

Art Unit: 2113

- Stage (3) command system ID transaction
- Stage (5) system combined response transaction
- Stage (7) completion tag transaction

The Examiner also finds, when inputting multiple simulated requests, the novel to be

- entering into one of two or more priority lists a tag and a priority for each particular stage (1) request and tag transaction upon input of each particular stage (1) request and tag transaction
- moving an entry corresponding to each particular stage (2) command ID transaction from one of said two or more priority lists to an issued list upon input of each particular stage (2) command ID transaction of a request and writing a packet ID from said particular stage (2) command ID transaction to a packet ID field in said entry
- writing to a system combined response field in an entry of said issued list corresponding to each particular a stage (5) system combined response transaction, a system combined response

deleting from said issued list each entry corresponding to each stage (7) completion tag transaction.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amine Riad whose telephone number is 571-272-8185.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 2113

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR
Amine Riad
Patent Examiner



ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SENIOR PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100